

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,793	07/15/2003	Kuo-Chi Tu	TS02-1264	7310
7590			EXAM	INER
STEPHEN B. A 28 DAVIS AVE			ZARNEKE, DAVID A	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE f this communicati n apposed for Reply	10/618,793	Applicant(s)	
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n app		TU, KUO-CHI	
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appod f r Reply	Examin r	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appod f r Reply	David A. Zarneke	2827	
	pears on the c ver sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.
us			•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	loroh 2004		÷ , .
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
,	action is non-final.		
>= approximate in containous for allowal	Tice except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
osition of Claims			. :*.
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are withdraw	In from consideration.		
Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) is/are rejected.			4
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
ication Papers			
) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	ntod or h)□ abiaatad ta k		
Applicant may not request that any chicetian to the	shred or p) objected to p	y the Examiner.	+ 4 .,
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	rawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The path or declaration is objected to by the Experience.	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	
ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
			,
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	l 19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	olication No.	٠.,
Z. — Certified copies of the priority documents	ty documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
— Property decaments	•	or a substitution of the s	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived.	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the International Bureau * See	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived.	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not re		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not re 4) ☐ Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not refer to the certified copies of the certified copies (Paper No(s)/II		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,793

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 1-17 in the paper dated 3/3/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for one group is necessarily co-extensive with the other group, and that the reason for restriction is speculative and has nothing to do with the present claims.

This is not found persuasive because the search for one group does not necessarily require searching for the other group. These claims are to a product and a process, which are separately classified and searched as such.

Also, the reason given is a valid alternative method step that could be used to form the same product. The fact that is not considered in the present claims is irrelevant. All that is required is an alternative method step that could be used to form the same product.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Election of Species

This elected group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1) the ARC layer has a tensile stress and the stress-balancing layer has a compressive stress; or

Application/Control Number: 10/618,793

Art Unit: 2827

2) the ARC layer has a compressive stress and the stress-balancing layer has a tensile stress.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571)-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Zarnéke Primary Examiner

May 10, 2004